UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,845	04/06/2007	Luis Rodolfo Zamorano Morfin	0333110350602	5173
909 7590 04/24/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			EXAMINER	
			SALATA, ANTHONY J	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/575,845	ZAMORANO MORFIN, LUIS RODOLFO			
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Salata	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY The provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a replay and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	April 2009.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)  accepted or b)  object e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-14-06.	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2837



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office Office of the Commissioner for Patents Technology Center 2800 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Paper No:20090423 Application No:10/575845 Filing Date:April 14,2006

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words. See 37 CFR 1.72(a).
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the elements of figures 1,2,4,5 are so small as to be unreadable. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by

Art Unit: 2837

the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claim 1, The terminology "differentiated because it involves", lines 4,5 is unclear. No preamble appears to be present for differentiation.
  - Lines 9,10 terminology of (or are) is alternative and unclear. Is the servo/brake not connected.

    Line 25 appears to end the claim with punctuation.

Further the terminology of "specially engineered operating program", is unclear. Is this a claim limitation? If so it would be so broad as to be surplusage.

Lines 26-29 appear to state the operation of the program but the claim is not a method claim.

Terminology of multiple servo "motors/amplifiers" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Lines 30-31 and page 2 lines 1,2 appear to add the encoder structure to the method portion of the claim above and it is not clear what the addition would be.

- Claim 2, terminology of "having the elevator two identical traction systems" is unclear.
- Claim 2,3,5 terminology of "characterized because" is unclear.
- Claim 3, terminology of "by just modifying, is unclear.
- Claims 3,5 state "according to the Claim No." is unclear.
- Claim 4, terminology of "servomotors, two of which, the ones overhead..." is unclear.
- Claim 4 also contains punctuation which renders it as two separate claims.
- Claim 5, terminology of "two of the traction systems can be used as backup for the other two..." is unclear. What are the two and other two?
- Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
   U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,845

Art Unit: 2837

5. Claims 2-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

The limitations of the elevator system with multiple sets of traction chains and associated sprockets and their mountings to the counterweight and pit as well as the use of the servomotors/brakes/PLC and encoder to control the system in normal operation and further in reduced conditions is not taught or reasonably suggested by the cited art of record.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Darwent, Alger et al., Doane et al., Johnson, Salmon, Coleman and Gustave are cited to illustrate similar elevator chain type compensation systems.

.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 months from the date of this letter.

Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry of a **general nature** should be directed to the **Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.** 

Information regarding the STATUS of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PARI. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR ONLY. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Any questions on access to PAIR, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Inventors Assistance Center (IAC) whose telephone number is 800-PTO-9199 or 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a>.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, response to Status Letters, lost papers or files or General Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 571-272-2800 or by fax at 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Salata whose telephone number is (571) 272-2073.

The examiner does not have as detailed access as the previously listed numbers with regard to status or general problem solving. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 37. ajs
April 24, 2009

/Jonathan Salata/ JONATHAN SALATA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2837